Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/780,327	CRALL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David L. Sorkin	1723
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>David L. Sorkin</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Michael G. Voorhees</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>4 May 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: not discussed		
Claims discussed: 4, 6, 7, 10-13 and 16-19		
Prior art documents discussed: not discussed		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  After the examiner pointed out that there was lack of antecedent basis for "the first" and "the second" members in claim 4, Mr.		
Voorhees authorized an examiner's amendment cancelling claim	4	
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	examiner will provide a writte	en summary of the substance
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
	. i.e.	
In hope		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	nnature if annronriate)